

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Parkins
<b>Given Name</b>	Michael
<b>Person ID</b>	1286568
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Parkins
<b>Given Name</b>	Michael
<b>Person ID</b>	1286568
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	A number of brownfield sites have not been considered, the existing roads become congested at times and this development will only make it worse to say nothing of the increase in air pollution. There does not appear to be any plan to provide any extra school places to cater for the likely increase in demand from the proposed residents. I can see part of the proposed site from my house and part of this in winter becomes water -logged.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Scrapping the whole scheme would seem to be the easiest way to meet its legal compliance